Sorry I didn't respond sooner. The slides look good to me, and I have no suggestions for any changes!

From: Chen, Lily (Fed)
Sent: Friday, March 10, 2017 10:07 AM
To: Perlner, Ray (Fed) <ray.perlner@nist.gov>; Moody, Dustin (Fed) <dustin.moody@nist.gov>
Subject: RE: The Third Asia PQC forum slides

Attached please see a version after incorporate in Ray's comments. Thank you, Ray.

Ray added page 8. I added some details. There are certain redundancies with page 14 and page 17. But I think it may be okay because page 8 is about requirements, page 14 is a summary on what talked, page 17 is implementation details.

Any more comments, please let me know. Thanks,

Lily

From: Perlner, Ray (Fed)
Sent: Thursday, March 09, 2017 5:20 PM
To: Chen, Lily (Fed) <<u>lily.chen@nist.gov</u>>; Moody, Dustin (Fed) <<u>dustin.moody@nist.gov</u>>
Subject: RE: The Third Asia PQC forum slides

Here are my comments.

From: Chen, Lily (Fed)
Sent: Thursday, March 09, 2017 3:24 PM
To: Moody, Dustin (Fed) <<u>dustin.moody@nist.gov</u>>; Perlner, Ray (Fed) <<u>ray.perlner@nist.gov</u>>
Subject: The Third Asia PQC forum slides

Hi, Dustin and Ray:

Attached please see the slides I put together for The Third Asia PQC Forum. These are essentially based on Dustin's slides given at The second Asia PQC Forum. I removed details on comment resolution but emphasize some points for submitters. Please check

- 1. Page 11, did I get them right?
- 2. Anything I may mislead the audience.
- 3. Grammar/awkward places

The presentation will be given the following Wednesday. I will leave for IEEE 802 meeting next week. I will be in touch by e-mail.

Thanks,

Lily